# Kershaw County School District School District

2029 W. DeKalb Street Camden, SC 29020.00

**Grades** PK-12 District **Enrollment** 10,345 Students

SuperintendentFrank E. Morgan, Ed.D.803-432-8416Board ChairMara H. Jones803-432-6902

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA NNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD

#### **RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD**

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2012	Good	Good*
2011	Average	Average
2010	Average	Good
2009	Below Average	At-Risk
2008	Average	Good

\* The District's 2012 Growth Rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students. The Growth Rating may or may not have been affected by the performance of these groups in prior years.

#### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


## Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located


97.6%

Exce	llent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
1:	2	6	3	0	1


<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.



















Districts with Students Like Ours

<sup>\*</sup> Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the district.

Definition of 0	Definition of Critical Terms							
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.							
Met "Met" means the student met the grade level standard.								
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.							

Our district

# High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students

	Our District Distr				cts with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012	
Passed both subtests	77.0%	79.5%	77.4%	80.1%	79.9%	81.8%	
Passed one subtest	13.7%	13.4%	14.3%	10.7%	11.6%	11.4%	
Passed no subtests	9.4%	7.2%	8.3%	9.2%	8.5%	7.1%	

End of Course Tests							
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	79.4%	84.6%					
English 1	78.1%	77.9%					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	75.4%	80.3%					
US History and the Constitution	44.3%	52.5%					
All Subjects	70.3%	74.7%					

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate							
	Our E	District	Districts with Students Like Ours				
	2011*	2012	2011	2012			
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	777	767	908	838			
Number of Graduates in Cohort	571	604	638	596			
Rate	73.5%	78.7%	74.2%	76.3%			

<sup>\*</sup>Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

Five-Year Graduation Rate							
	Our E	District	Districts with Students Like Ours				
	2011	2012	2011	2012			
Number of Students in Cohort	817	690	872	822			
Number of Graduates in Cohort	607	592	627	603			
Rate	74.3%	85.8%	73.3%	77.4%			

# District Profile

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
Students (n=10,345)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	98.8%	Up from 98.0%	98.9%	99.3%
Retention rate	2.5%	Down from 2.7%	1.6%	2.0%
Attendance rate	96.3%	Up from 95.7%	96.2%	96.1%
Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.9%	Down from 1.4%	0.6%	0.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	15.7%	Down from 17.0%	17.3%	13.5%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	49.1%	49.9%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	25.1%	Down from 31.0%	34.9%	30.3%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	63	Down from 83	59	59
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	39	Down from 56	39	31
Annual dropout rate	2.9%	Down from 3.6%	2.8%	2.7%
Teachers (n=634)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	67.7%	Down from 67.9%	62.9%	62.4%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Teachers returning from previous year	92.7%	Up from 92.4%	92.5%	91.1%
Teacher attendance rate	95.3%	Down from 95.6%	95.2%	95.1%
Average teacher salary*	\$48.980	Up 0.9%	\$47.889	\$46.595
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.0%	Down from 0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Professional development days/teacher	11.3 days	Up from 10.2 days	11.9 days	12.4 days
District	i no dajo	op nom roll daye	dayo	12.1 days
Superintendent's years at district	5.0	No Change	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.3 to 1	Up from 23.7 to 1	22.7 to 1	21.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.7%	Up from 90.5%	90.2%	89.9%
Pollars spent per pupil**	\$8.287	Down 3.3%	\$8.227	\$8.866
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	53.8%	Down from 55.0%	56.0%	53.1%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	59.4%	Down from 61.0%	58.5%	55.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	19	No Change	14	9
Number of magnet schools	0	No Change	0	0
Portable classrooms	1.2%	Down from 1.3%	1.8%	1.4%
Average age in years of school facilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	18.0	Down from 19.0	14.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 96.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Average administrator salary	\$81,823	Up 4.5%	\$79,371	\$77,744

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Performance By Student Groups

	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012			End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2012	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	
All Students	674	92.4%	3038	70.3%	767	78.7%	
Gender							
Male	353	91.2%	1572	70.4%	411	75.9%	
Female	321	93.8%	1464	70.3%	356	82.0%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	434	94.7%	2007	77.1%	497	80.3%	
African American	214	87.9%	888	54.6%	244	75.4%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	27	92.6%	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	21	95.2%	109	70.6%	24	83.3%	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Disabled	69	68.1%	253	39.5%	89	44.9%	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	12	83.3%	55	65.5%	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	318	88.1%	1532	62.2%	343	70.8%	

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012		
	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours
Percent	92 4%	92.3%

SAT Critical Reading			Math		V	Writing		Tota	Total	
	2011	201	2 2	011	2012	2011	201	2	2011	2012
District	477	473	3 4	483	482	451	449	9	1411	1404
State	479	477	, ,	489	487	459	458	3	1427	1422
Nation	493	491		506	505	482	48	1	1481	1477
ACT	Eng	lish	Ma	Math Readin		ding	Scie	ence	Т	otal
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
District	19.3	19.1	20.0	20.0	20.5	20.3	20.4	20.1	20.2	20.0
State	19.0	19.1	20.1	20.1	20.0	20.1	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9
Nation	20.6	20.5	21.1	21.1	21.3	21.3	20.9	20.9	21.1	21.1

Kershaw County School District School District	11/07/12-2801
School District Governance	
Board Membership	9 trustees elected to single-member seats
Fiscal Authority	District Board/County Council
Average Number of Hours of Training Annually	0.0 per board member
Percent New Trustees Completing Orientation	N/A

## District Superintendent's Report

This has been another school year of great student achievement and academic excellence. The Kershaw County School District (KCSD) was featured in Education Executive magazine for providing a high return on investments in students. KCSD averages were higher than the state averages in 22 of the 26 possible PASS categories. Local seniors' ACT average composite score reached an all-time district high. Fifteen schools—ATEC, Bethune Elementary, Blaney Elementary, Camden Elementary, Camden Middle, Doby's Mill Elementary, Jackson School, Lugoff Elementary, Lugoff-Elgin Middle, Mt. Pisgah Elementary, North Central High, Stover Middle, North Central Middle, Pine Tree Hill Elementary and Wateree Elementary—were recognized in the Palmetto Gold and Silver program for academic achievement and closing achievement gaps. Eleven more KCSD teachers earned National Board certification or recertification, bringing the total number of district teachers who are National Board certified to 139 or 20 percent of the teaching staff.

The district's performance received statewide and national recognition at all levels. Lugoff-Elgin High science teacher Dr. Holly Sullivan received the prestigious Presidential Award for Excellence in Mathematics and Science Teaching, Camden Middle teacher Valerie Willis was named 2011 Outstanding Earth Science Teacher for both SC and the Southeast region. Doby's Mill Elementary Media Specialist Betsy Long was honored as one of 10 national winners of the Carnegie Corporation of New York/The New York Times "I Love My Librarian" Award. Pine Tree Hill Elementary Principal Dr. Lisa Shannon was named the SC International Reading Council's Administrator of the Year. KCSD Teacher of the Year Helen Walker was one of five finalists in the SC Teacher of the Year Program. Camden Elementary teacher Darlene Cantey was named the VFW State Citizenship Educational Teacher of the Year (K-5). ATEC nursing instructor Laura Marshall was selected as the 2012 Outstanding New Teacher of the Year for the SC Career and Technical Education Association.

In addition, the School Improvement Council of Baron DeKalb Elementary received the 2012 Dick and Tunky Riley Award for SIC Excellence. For the second year in a row, the North Central High Tourism Management team won the SC Lodging Management Program Championship and Blaney Elementary's archery team won the Department of Natural Resources' state competition. Doby's Mill Elementary's robotics team won the state championship for the 4th time in six years and 3rd place in the Inspiration Award at the World Festival. Pine Tree Hill Elementary was one of three schools statewide to be honored by the SC Association of Title I Administrators for closing the achievement gap. Baron DeKalb Elementary earned an Exemplary Writing Program Award. Camden Elementary was named a 2011 Blue Ribbon Lighthouse School for outstanding achievement in comprehensive educational excellence for students. The SC Scholastic Press Association named the Lugoff-Eigin High School yearbook the best in the state. Programs funded by the \$5.7 million Safe Schools/Healthy Students federal grant continued to provide resources to students and their families. The district's Class of 2012 high school graduates earned \$10,656,822 in college scholarships, more than double the amount five years ago.

Frank E. Morgan, Ed.D., Superintendent

#### ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

South Carolina uses new Annual Measures of Objectives (AMOs) that are based on actual school performance as measured by student test scores on the state standards assessments and end-of-course exams.

Overall Weighted Points Total	84.5
Overall Grade Conversion	В
Points Total - Elementary Grades	88.4
Points Total - Middle Grades	84.9
Points Total - High School Grades	72.0

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

## Accountability Indicator (Title I Schools)

The Kershaw County School District School District consists of 19 public schools with 6 of these schools, or 31.6%, in improvement status.

School	Status
Baron Dekalb Elementary	Reward-Performance
Bethune Elementary	Reward-Performance
Blaney Elementary	Reward-Performance
Midway Elementary	Reward-Performance
Mt Pisgah Elementary	Reward-Performance
Pine Tree Hill Elementary	Focus

## Accountability Indicator Definitions

Title I Reward Schools for Performance are among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.

Title I Reward Schools for Progress are Title I schools that demonstrates the most substantial progress in identified subgroups of students.

Title I Focus Schools are Title I schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.

Title I Priority Schools are the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.

Kershaw County Schoo	Kershaw County School District School District 11/07/12-2801							
Performance By Group								
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested		
All Students	662.4	651.5	des 3-5 627.2	632.7	99.9	99.9		
Male	656.7	651.2	627.1	636.0	99.9	99.9		
Female	668.5	651.7	627.3	628.9	99.8	99.8		
White	672.6	661.6	637.0	640.9	99.8	99.8		
African American	641.3	629.5	606.4	615.0	99.9	99.9		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0		
Hispanic	648.7	642.5	616.1	623.1	100.0	100.0		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0		
Disabled	609.4	603.4	584.7	596.5	99.6	99.8		
Limited English Proficient	650.1	644.9	620.6	623.2	99.3	100.0		
Subsidized meals	647.8	637.3	613.7	619.4	99.8	99.9		
Annual Measurable								
Objective (AMO)	630.0	630.0	630.0	630.0	95.0	95.0		
		Grac	des 6-8					
All Students	652.0	644.0	639.8	634.1	99.9	99.9		
Male	647.1	643.4	640.2	638.8	99.9	99.9		
Female	657.3	644.8	639.4	629.2	99.8	99.8		
White	662.6	654.1	651.9	643.4	99.8	99.8		
African American	628.8	621.6	614.3	614.2	99.9	99.9		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0		
Hispanic	648.7	642.5	616.1	623.1	100.0	100.0		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0		
Disabled	589.5	595.1	581.7	591.7	99.6	99.8		
Limited English Proficient Subsidized meals	640.9 636.4	635.5 630.0	621.4 624.4	615.2 620.7	99.3 99.8	100.0 99.9		
Annual Measurable								
Objective (AMO)	624.0	624.0	624.0	624.0	95.0	95.0		
			es 9-12					
All Students	233	230	78	68	99.5	99.4		
Male	229	229	78	69	99.0	99.0		
Female	237	232	79	68	100.0	99.7		
White	237	235	82	71	99.2	99.1		
African American	224	218	71	64	100.0	100.0		
Asian/Pacific Islander	253	248	85	61	100.0	100.0		
Hispanic	224	224	74	67	100.0	100.0		
American Indian/Alaskan	241	214	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0		
Disabled	206	198	66	61	98.7	98.7		
Limited English Proficient	219	220	71	66	100.0	100.0		
Subsidized meals	226	222	73	65	100.0	99.7		
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	223	220	76	71	95	95		

Reference County County Control District Control District							
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	.evel				
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Englisl	n/Language A	rts		
	3	782	99.7	18.9	24.9	56.2	81.1
	4	794	99.9	19.1	44.5	36.4	80.9
2011		794	99.6	20.5	46.2	33.3	79.5
50	5 6 7	799	99.8	27	33.4	39.6	73
	7	839	99.6	28.3	31.9	39.9	71.8
	8	773	99.6	24.4	35.7	39.9	75.6
	3	751	99.5	19.4	24.2	56.3	80.6
~	4	768	100	18.7	36.3	45	81.3
2012		792	100	22.4	44.6	32.9	77.6
2	5 6	787	99.9	23.6	29.7	46.7	76.4
	7	787	100	26.7	36.2	37	73.3
	8	853	99.9	24	35.1	40.9	76
			M	lathematics		'	
	3	782	99.9	27.6	28.8	43.6	72.4
	4	794	99.9	19.6	41.5	38.9	80.4
7		794	99.6	24.7	41.8	33.6	75.3
2011	5 6	799	99.8	26	45.3	28.7	74
	7	839	99.5	28.2	41.6	30.3	71.8
	8	773	99.6	24.2	47.9	28	75.8
	3	751	99.7	30.6	34.1	35.3	69.4
2	4	768	100	15.8	44.5	39.8	84.2
$\Xi$		792	100	24.5	45.9	29.5	75.5
2012	5 6	787	99.9	24.3	43.1	32.6	75.7
	7	787	100	28.3	44.1	27.6	71.7
	8	853	99.7	28.7	43.2	28	71.3
				Science			
	3	388	99.5	35.2	38.7	26.1	64.8
_	4	794	99.9	27.4	59	13.6	72.6
Ė		396	99.8	35.9	49.2	14.9	64.1
2011	5 6	397	99	27.7	53	19.3	72.3
	7	839	99.5	23.8	45.4	30.8	76.2
	8	390	99.7	21.1	30.8	48.1	78.9
	3	375	99.2	33.4	42.5	24	66.6
2	4	768	100	23.7	61.8	14.5	76.3
2012	5 6	396	99	28.6	51.2	20.2	71.4
20	6	400	99.3	26.2	57.2	16.5	73.8
	7	787	100	21.3	39.1	39.6	78.7
	8	421	99.8	17.6	42.6	39.9	82.4

Kershaw	County	School	District	School	District

801
2-2
2
ľ
7
0
1
ľ
1

	ian ooani,	70.100. B.101.10		•		•	.,
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	evel				
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Sc	ocial Studies			
	3	394	99.5	22.7	51	26.3	77.3
_	4	794	99.9	23.8	51.6	24.6	76.2
$\Xi$	5	397	99.2	30.7	38.3	31	69.3
2011	5 6	401	99	18.4	51.7	29.9	81.6
	7	839	99.4	36.7	28.6	34.7	63.3
	8	383	98.7	23.6	33.7	42.7	76.4
	3	375	99.2	31.2	41.7	27.1	68.8
2	4	768	100	21.7	53.2	25.2	78.3
2012	5 6	396	99.2	30.2	40.7	29.1	69.8
2		388	99	20.6	50.1	29.3	79.4
	7	787	99.9	31.3	40.5	28.2	68.7
	8	432	98.4	22.6	35.1	42.3	77.4
				Writing			
	3	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
_	4	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2011	5	791	98	19.4	41	39.6	80.6
<b>5</b> (	6	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	771	99	25	43.7	31.3	75
	3	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2012	4	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
9	5	786	98.4	27.9	39.9	32.2	72.1
2	6	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	8	852	99.2	20.2	39.2	40.6	79.8

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data								
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*
			English/La	anguage A	irts			
All Students	2011	N/A	98.4	8.9	30.3	28.5	32.3	70.2
All Students	2012	820	98.7	12.7	29.2	34.2	23.9	69.9
Mathematics								
All Charles	2011	N/A	97.9	18.4	32.4	28.8	20.3	61.5
All Students	2012	820	98.5	18.9	26.0	27.5	27.6	65.2

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.7%	2.6%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.1%	5.1%

	Our District	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.4%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate, grades K-8	96.3%	94.0%*	Yes

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\star}}\xspace$  Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Or greater than last year